Tetrahedron Letters 49 (2008) 7349–7351

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00404039)

# Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet)

An extremely facile retro-Diels–Alder reaction is also described.



- 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Perfluorobarrelene

### Philip Ralli, Yin Zhang, David M. Lemal \*

Department of Chemistry, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, United States

#### article info

#### ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 12 July 2008 Accepted 3 October 2008 Available online 8 October 2008

Keywords: Barrelene Fluorocarbon synthesis Fluorinolysis retro-Diels–Alder Photolysis

With its array of pi orbitals interacting through space in Möbius fashion, bicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5,7-triene (barrelene, 1) is a particularly interesting hydrocarbon.<sup>1-4</sup> Sensitized photolysis of  $\hat{1}$  yields semibullvalene (2), another unusual member of the manifold of  $(CH)$ <sub>8</sub> hydrocarbons that undergoes degenerate rearrangement with remarkable ease.<sup>5-8</sup> Because hydrocarbons and their fluorocarbon counterparts typically contrast in their properties and chemistry in ways that shed light on the effects of fluorine substitution, $9$  we wished to synthesize perfluorobarrelene (3). We hoped, however, that the contrast would not extend to the photoisomerizaton reaction, as 3 might serve as a photoprecursor for the unknown perfluorosemibullvalene.





The title fluorocarbon has been synthesized in three steps from cis-5,6-dichlorohexafluorocyclohexa-1,3 diene, a hexafluorobenzene synthon. Photolysis of perfluorobarrelene yields perfluorocyclooctatetraene.

Synthesis of perfluorobarrelene,<sup>[10](#page-2-0)</sup> outlined in Scheme 1, was greatly facilitated by the ready availability of 5,6-dichlorohexafluorocyclohexa-1,3-diene (4), a synthon for perfluorobenzene that had been prepared from that fluorocarbon in our laboratory in five steps and in >50% overall yield.<sup>11</sup> For addition to 4, a synthon for the extremely labile difluoroacetylene<sup>[12](#page-2-0)</sup> was needed. In principle,<br>chlorotrifluoroethylene could play that role, but it failed to react

with diene 4 even at 180  $\degree$ C for 24 h, presumably because of its electron-deficiency. Fortunately, the electron-rich bis(trimethylstannyl)acetylene (5) reacted smoothly with 4 at 85 °C to give a

Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 603 646 2989; fax: +1 603 646 3946. E-mail address: [david.m.lemal@dartmouth.edu](mailto:david.m.lemal@dartmouth.edu) (D. M. Lemal).

<sup>0040-4039/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2008.10.017



stereoisomeric mixture of Diels–Alder adducts 6 and 7 in the ratio 9:1 (87% yield). Fluorodestannylation of the mixture to afford chlorofluorocarbon 8 was accomplished with a stream of fluorine in helium (1:1) in acetonitrile at  $0^{\circ}$ C (34% yield). To obtain perfluorobarrelene, 8 was dechlorinated with zinc in DMSO as-sisted by ultrasound<sup>[13](#page-2-0)</sup> and with chromous chloride in dimethylformamide as well, albeit in quite low yield in each case.

Because bis(trimethylstannyl)acetylene (5) is a highly toxic compound, the Diels–Alder reaction was also performed with its tributyl analog  $\mathbf{9}.^{14}$  $\mathbf{9}.^{14}$  $\mathbf{9}.^{14}$  The cycloaddition with  $\mathbf{9}$  required more vigorous conditions (160 °C vs 85 °C), and gave a lower yield (51 $\frac{\cancel{0}^{15}}{2}$ ) of a single adduct  $(10)$ :<sup>[16](#page-2-0)</sup> the subsequent defluorostannylation reaction to afford 8 proceeded in 35% yield.<sup>[15](#page-2-0)</sup> Bis(methylsilyl)acetylene reacted too in Diels-Alder fashion with diene  $4$  in 48 h at 150 °C (50% yield<sup>15</sup>), but fluorinolysis of the adduct<sup>17</sup> gave only polymeric products.

In an attempt to reverse the order of the fluorinolysis and dechlorination reactions, the tributylstannyl adduct 10 was subjected to zinc in DMSO with ultrasound, but surprisingly perfluorobenzene (12) was the only fluorine-containing product (Scheme 2). Monitoring the reaction by 19F NMR revealed the intermediacy of triene 11 ( $\delta$  –157.5, 4 vinyl Fs; –194.5, 2 bridgehead Fs), which fragmented at rt. This extraordinarily facile retro-Diels–Alder reaction probably occurred via zwitterion 13 (or a transition state with the character of 13), the negative charge being stabilized by conjugation and the positive charge by the  $\beta$ -C–Sn bond. Under the same conditions, the trimethylsilyl adduct behaved identically, presumably with assistance from the  $\beta$ -C–Si bond in the fragmentation step. C–Sn and C–Si bonds alpha to a positive charge also provide some stabilization by induction.

Perfluorobarrelene (3) is a highly volatile white solid, mp 68.5– 70 °C (mp 15–16 °C for the parent hydrocarbon). Its <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum (CDCl $_3$ ) comprises signals at  $\delta$  –150.1 and –219.0 ppm (3:1), representing the vinyl and bridgehead fluorines, respectively. The fluorocarbon displays double bond stretching absorption at  $1746$  cm<sup>-1</sup> in its infrared spectrum, corresponding to a band at  $1580 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for the parent. Its mass spectrum features a major molecular ion peak, the base peak corresponding to loss of  $CF_3$ . Perfluorobarrelene has a maximum at 218 nm ( $\log \varepsilon = 2.9$ ) (cyclohexane) in its ultraviolet spectrum, whereas the hydrocarbon gives rise to two bands in the near UV at 208.0 nm ( $\log \epsilon = 3.05$ ) and 239 nm ( $\log \epsilon$  = 2.48) (ethanol).<sup>1</sup>

Direct photolysis of 3 or sensitization with either acetone or benzene resulted in isomerization to perfluorocyclooctatetraene (14). None of the yet unknown perfluorosemibullvalene was obtained, despite the fact that under similar conditions semibullvalene (2) is the principal product from the parent barrelene  $(1)$ <sup>5c</sup>



#### 1. Experimental

#### 1.1. 7,8-Dichloro-2,3-bis(trimethylstannyl)perfluorobicyclo- [2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (6, 7)

In a 25 mL round-bottomed flask were placed 10.39 g (29.6 mmol) of bis(trimethylstannyl) acetylene,<sup>[18](#page-2-0)</sup> 7.65 g (29.8 mmol) of cis-5,6-dichlorohexafluorocyclohexa-1,3-diene,<sup>[11](#page-2-0)</sup> and 2.5 g of calcium carbonate. Caution: Bis(trimethystannyl)acetylene is a neurotoxin. The mixture was heated with stirring at 85 °C for 42 h (longer than necessary), then slurried in pentane, and placed on a column of silica gel (45 g). Elution with pentane gave the adduct as a white crystalline mass, 15.64 g (87% yield). Mp 70-71.5 °C. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) for **6** (90%):  $\delta$  -110.9 (2F, gem to Cl),  $-153.5$  (2F, vinyl),  $-175.4$  (2F, bridgehead); for 7 (10%):  $\delta$  $-114.2$  (2F, gem to Cl),  $-153.5$  (2F, vinyl),  $-177.5$  (2F, bridgehead). IR (KBr): 2980, 2910, 1760, 1340, 1285, 1220 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS  $m/e$  (rel. int.): 593 (20%), 411 (56%), 361 (29%), 311 (22%), 257 (40%), 165 (100%). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{14}H_{18}Cl_2F_6Sn_2$ : C, 27.63; H, 2.98; Cl, 11.65; F, 18.73. Found: C, 27.39; H, 3.01; Cl, 11.82; F, 18.48.

#### 1.2. 7,8-Dichloroperfluorobicyclo[2.2.2]octa-2,5-diene (8)

A solution of the adduct mixture 6 and 7 (17.7 g, 29.0 mmol) in 175 mL of acetonitrile contained in a cylindrical reactor (4.5 cm diam.) was cooled to 0 °C. A 1:1 mixture of fluorine in helium was bubbled into the bottom of the magnetically stirred solution at the rate of 15 mL/min for 16 h. Caution: Fluorine at high concentrations in helium is a very aggressive reagent. Reaction progress was monitored by  $19F$  NMR, and the gas inlet jet was occasionally unclogged with a copper wire. Sodium fluoride (8 g) was added late in the reaction to scavenge any HF that was formed, and could have been introduced at the outset. After trimethyltin fluoride had been removed by vacuum filtration, the reaction mixture was poured into cold water (250 mL). The yellow oil that separated was collected, then the aqueous phase was extracted with isopentane  $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ , and the extracts were combined with the yellow oil. This was followed by washing with water (100 mL) and 10% aqueous sodium bicarbonate (50 mL). The aqueous washings were back-washed with isopentane  $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ , and the combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. Short-path distillation of the resulting yellow oil gave the diene as colorless, low-melting prisms, 3.13 g (34%). Bp 40–45 °C (11 Torr). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>:  $\delta$  -116.0 (2F, gem to Cl), -150.4 (4F, vinyl), -209.1 (2F, bridgehead). IR (neat): 1780, 1755, 1350, 1280, 1245, 1150, 1053, 1000, 955, 795 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>1.3. Perfluorobicyclo[2.2.2]octatriene (3)

A 25 mL round-bottomed flask with a septum-capped sidearm and stir bar was clamped to a straight tube, which was clamped in turn to an oscillating motor. The tube was connected via vacuum tubing to a Firestone valve through which the system could be evacuated or filled with nitrogen. The flask was charged with freshly activated zinc dust (4.0 g, 0.06 mol) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 7.0 mL, distilled from calcium hydride). After the system had been purged with nitrogen, dichloride 8 (0.918 g, 2.88 mmol) was introduced by syringe as a solution in DMSO, and the flask was rocked in an ultrasonic bath for 7 min at  $21-23$  °C. Following degassing by two freeze-pump-thaw cycles using liquid nitrogen, volatile product was vacuum transferred statically into the bottom of a small sublimer, while the reaction mixture was magnetically stirred. Sublimation at 11 Torr and 32  $\degree$ C gave perfluorobarrelene as colorless prisms (0.125 g, 17.5%). Mp 58-60 °C. <sup>19</sup>F NMR  $(\mathsf{C}_6\mathsf{D}_6)$ :  $\delta$  –149.9 (6F, vinyl), –219.0 (2F, bridgehead). IR (vapor): 1746, 1332, 1260, 1145, 940, 895 cm<sup>-1</sup>. MS  $m/e$  (rel. int.): 248 (63%), 229 (30%), 210 (6%), 198 (46%), 186 (50%), 179 (100%). Through a combination of low temperature recrystallization from isopentane and sublimation, a sample melting at  $68.5-70$  °C was obtained.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors thank the National Science Foundation for the support of this research.

#### References and notes

- 1. Zimmerman, H. E.; Paufler, R. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 1514; Zimmerman, H. E.; Grunewald, G. L.; Paufler, R. M.; Sherwin, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 2330.
- 2. Zimmerman, H. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 1564; Zimmerman, H. E. Science 1966, 153, 837.
- 3. Hoffmann, R.; Heilbronner, E.; Gleiter, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 706; Bodor, N.; Dewar, M. J. S.; Worley, S. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 19.
- 4. Palmer, M. H. J. Mol. Struct. 1987, 161, 333.
- 5. (a) Zimmerman, H. E.; Grunewald, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 183; (b) Zimmerman, H. E.; Binkley, R. W.; Givens, R. S.; Sherwin, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3932; (c) Zimmerman, H. E.; Binkley, R. W.; Givens, R. S.; Grunewald, G. L.; Sherwin, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 3316; (d) Zimmerman, H. E.; Sulzbach, H. M.; Tollefson, M. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6548.
- 6. Frutos, L. M.; Sancho, U.; Castano, O. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1229.
- 7. Cheng, A. K.; Anet, F. A. L.; Mioduski, J.; Meinwald, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 2887.
- 8. Hrovat, D. A.; Brown, E. C.; Vaughan, R.; Quast, H.; Borden, W. T. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2627.
- 9. See, for example, Lemal, D. M. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1.
- 10. Noted earlier in Lemal, D. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 662.
- 11. Dailey, W. P.; Correa, R. A.; Harrison, E., III.; Lemal, D. M. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5511.
- 12. Brahms, J. C.; Dailey, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8940.
- 13. Waldron, R. F.; Barefoot, A. C., III.; Lemal, D. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106,
- 8301. 14. For a comparison of the toxicity of trialkyltin compounds, see Snoeij, N. J.; Van Iersel, A. A. J.; Penninks, A.; Seinen, W. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 1985, 81, 274.
- 15. NMR yields; all others are isolated yields.
- 16. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  -110.6 (2F, gem to Cl), -154.3 (2F, vinyl), -174.8 (2F bridgehead). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): bands in the  $\delta$  0.8–1.7 range.
- 17. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  -111.4 (2F, gem to Cl), -153.5 (2F, vinyl), -183.7 (2F bridgehead). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  0.34 (s, 18H).
- 18. Seyferth, D.; Sarafidis, C.; Evnin, A. B. Organomet. Chem. 1964, 2, 417.